

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO 09/506,342 02/18/2000 Allan Heiney 5201.001 8714 EXAMINER 7590 04/28/2004 Liniak, Berenato, Longacre & White NEGASH, KINFE MICHAEL 6550 Rock Spring Drive Suite 240 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Bethesda, MD 20817 2633

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/506,342	HEINEY, ALLAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
```	Kinfe-Michael Negash	2633
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the		
issues for appeal; and/or	zowo. ro ro. appour by maio	many reducing or empinying and
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>7-16</u> .  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>17-25</u> .		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  10. Other:	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	KINFE-MICHAEL NEGASH  DENGARY ELLANCIER
		(203)205-4932
		(10/1/01/11/

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 1 is a single means claim and the 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection is still tenable.